

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/073,989 | 02/14/2002 | Takashi Hasegawa | NIT-322 4988 | |
| 7590 10/07/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Mattingly, Stanger & Malur, P.C. Suite 370 | | | LASTRA, DANIEL | |
| 1800 Diagonal Road | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Alexandria, VA 22314 | | | 3622 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/073,989 | HASEGAWA, TAKASHI | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | DANIEL LÁSTRA | 2622 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | 3622 | | |
| | | orrespondence address | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | <u> </u> | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which places the | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6 | | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- | | |
| (d) ☑ No reply has been received. | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | d publication fee, if applicable, within 5). | the statutory period of three months | | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | received on (with a Certificate in the issue fee (and in the interior fee (and in the i | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated d publication fee) set in the Notice of | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due. | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | |
| . The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | gnee of the entire interest, or all of | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a represe | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | ence rendered on and becaus ns. | e the period for seeking court review | | |
| 7. ☐ The reason(s) below: | | | | |
| The Attorney of record abandoned the Application o | n 09/26/05 telephone Interview. | PADUEL ALVARIEZ PRIMARY EXAMPLES | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | w the holding of abandonment under 37 C | CFR 1 181 should be promptly filed to | | |